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Interjurisdictional Mobility

WHEREAS there exists an inherent need for doctors of chiropractic to be able to relocate their practices, a privilege hereby referred to as “interjurisdictional mobility;” and

WHEREAS numerous jurisdictions have statutory restrictions in their language that require certain educational and testing requirements that arose in relative recent history; and

WHEREAS these statutory changes occurred after many doctors were already licensed and in practice; and,

WHEREAS these established doctors were therefore, and by definition, not required to have met these newer requirements; and

WHEREAS these changes have prevented these doctors from exercising interjurisdictional mobility; now therefore be it

RESOLVED,
That the Federation of Chiropractic Licensing Boards recommend the following mutual guidelines be followed by member boards as a first step in the ongoing quest for unification of interjurisdictional mobility of licensure:

1. Applicant shall be in active practice for the five years immediately preceding the date of application. This will allow the board to evaluate the applicant’s most recent practice performance.

2. Applicant shall provide full disclosure to facilitate the investigative process. Such process shall result in a clean practice record as evidenced by CIN-BAD, and malpractice carriers.

3. Applicant may be required to comply with the jurisprudence assessment of the jurisdiction to which he/she is applying for licensure*.

4. Applicants who do not fulfill the above qualifications may be required to appear before the board for a personal interview. In addition, they may also be required to pass an appropriate examination (i.e., the Special Purpose Examination for Chiropractic).

5. Utilization of the standard FCLB Interjurisdictional Mobility form is recommended.

6. The individual Licensing Board shall set its own application fee.

* Original resolution amended in section number three to strike out “pass the specific jurisprudence examination...”
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